	IA28GAT1
1	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK
2	x
3	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,
4	v. 17 Cr. 686 (LAK)
5	JAMES GATTO, a/k/a "Jim," MERL CODE,
6	CHRISTIAN DAWKINS,
7	Defendants.
8	x
9	October 2, 2018 9:50 a.m.
10	Before:
11	HON. LEWIS A. KAPLAN,
12	District Judge and a Jury
13	and a oury
14	APPEARANCES
15	ROBERT S. KHUZAMI Acting United States Attorney for the
16	Southern District of New York BY: EDWARD B. DISKANT
17	NOAH D. SOLOWIEJCZYK ALINE R. FLODR
18	ELI J. MARK Assistant United States Attorneys
19	WILLKIE FARR & GALLAGHER LLP
20	Attorneys for Defendant Gatto BY: MICHAEL S. SCHACHTER
21	CASEY E. DONNELLY
22	
23	
24	
25	

Opening - Ms. Donnelly

1 offenses when Adidas took a tiny portion of the money that it 2 brought in and shared it with the families of the players on 3 the court. 4 Let me give you an example. The government contends 5 that Jim committed two felonies because he purportedly agreed 6 to send \$40,000 to the family of Dennis Smith, Jr. This is a 7 family that lived in public housing down in Fayetteville, North Carolina. 8 9 MR. MARK: Objection. 10 THE COURT: Sustained. This is not appropriate. 11 Your Honor, we have actually never seen 12 this PowerPoint presentation before. 13 THE COURT: I am not seeing a PowerPoint presentation 14 at all. 15 MR. DISKANT: The jury can see it. THE COURT: Is the jury seeing a PowerPoint? 16 17 MS. DONNELLY: Yes, they are. 18 THE COURT: Counsel, in the robing room. 19 (In robing room) 20 THE COURT: When did this PowerPoint start? 21 MS. DONNELLY: The PowerPoint has been projected to 22 the jurors from the beginning of the opening. 23 Has the government seen it in advance? 24 MR. SCHACHTER: We understood it was presented on the 25 court's screen as well. We had no idea that it was not.

Opening - Ms. Donnelly

1	THE COURT: Had the government seen it in advance?
2	MR. SCHACHTER: The government did not ask to see any
3	PowerPoints and we didn't ask to see the government's
4	PowerPoint. It's standard, as I
5	THE COURT: Did the government use a PowerPoint?
6	MR. MARK: We did not use a PowerPoint. We did not
7	know they were going to use a PowerPoint. We actually think
8	that some of those details in that PowerPoint might not be
9	accurate and consistent with the facts that will come in.
10	THE COURT: Mr. Schachter, you just told me the
11	government used a PowerPoint. Who is telling the truth?
12	MR. SCHACHTER: I said that the government has a
13	standard operating procedure to use PowerPoints.
14	THE COURT: You didn't use the word standard operating
15	procedure. You said they used a PowerPoint.
16	MR. SCHACHTER: If I did, then I apologize.
17	THE COURT: Your colleague is agreeing with me.
18	MR. SCHACHTER: What I meant to say was that it is
19	standard operating procedure for the government. I know in
20	your Honor's last trial in opening statements the government
21	used a PowerPoint and we anticipated that the government would
22	be using
23	THE COURT: And I knew in advance and the defense knew
24	in advance and it was all approved. This is a stunt and it's
25	unprofessional.

Opening - Ms. Donnelly

MR. SCHACHTER: Your Honor, I can only say that I 1 assumed that the government's decision not to request our 2 3 PowerPoint was tactical and that they did not want to show me 4 I had actually asked the question --5 THE COURT: Mr. Schachter, you said the government 6 made a decision not to request your PowerPoint. Are you 7 representing to me that you had disclosed to the government that you had intended to use a PowerPoint? 8 9 MR. SCHACHTER: Absolutely not. Nor did I understand 10 there would be any such obligation. 11 THE COURT: Well, you have learned something. Don't 12 ever do anything like that again. 13 I will not, your Honor. MR. SCHACHTER: 14 THE COURT: The PowerPoint is over. You're not using 15 it. I am instructing the jury to disregard it entirely. And I 16 am shocked. 17 MR. SCHACHTER: Your Honor, I can only say that in the 18 last number of trials I have had the government has always employed some kind of PowerPoint, which of course needs to only 19 20 reflect what we believe to be the evidence. 21 THE COURT: And it came as a surprise to you every 22 time and you didn't know it was being used from the very first 23 moment it was on the screen? Are you telling me that? 24 MR. SCHACHTER: I can only say that I was surprised 25 that the government did not employ a PowerPoint. I expected

Opening - Ms. Donnelly

them to use a PowerPoint display as the government does on a regular basis.

THE COURT: Your argument holds no common sense, let alone anything else. This is outrageous behavior.

Let's go back.

And you, ma'am, you don't instruct the jury on the law in an opening statement.

(In open court)

THE COURT: Members of the jury, you are instructed to disregard whatever PowerPoint you have seen altogether. It is out of this case. You are to pay no attention. It should not have been shown to you without advance notice to the other side or to the court.

Go ahead, Ms. Donnelly.

MS. DONNELLY: Ladies and gentlemen, as I was --

THE COURT: Just so it's clear, you saw it, but I saw none of it and the government saw none of it. Nobody knew it was there.

Go ahead, Ms. Donnelly.

MS. DONNELLY: Ladies and gentlemen, as I was saying, the government contends that Jim is guilty of a crime because he purportedly agreed to send \$40,000 to the family of Dennis Smith, Jr. You will learn during this trial that Dennis Smith, Jr. ended up going to his local state university, NC State. The government says that Dennis agreed to go there because of

1 (Jury not present) 2 THE COURT: Be seated, folks. 3 Let me just get something up on my screen here. 4 (Pause) 5 My recollection, without having gotten it back up on 6 my screen yet -- ah, here it is -- is this: Ms. Donnelly was 7 going on talking about Dennis Smith and some statement about the family living in public housing. Mr. Mark, you objected, 8 9 and I sustained it. 10 Mr. Mark, you then said, "Your Honor, we have actually 11 never seen this PowerPoint presentation before." When was the 12 first moment you became aware, in relation to what I read, that 13 there was a PowerPoint being used by Ms. Donnelly? 14 MR. MARK: We became -- I think there was a 15 presentation on the screen at the beginning of her argument. It started. We -- you know, we assumed that your Honor was 16 17 also seeing what we were seeing for the first time. We didn't 18 want to stand up and object right that second, candidly, 19 because we wanted to give her some latitude in her opening 20 statement. But then when it got to a certain point, it clearly 21 became objectionable and we raised that to your Honor. 22 THE COURT: Did you know, before she began her 23 argument, that they had a PowerPoint that they intended to use? 24 We did not know that, your Honor. MR. MARK: 25 THE COURT: Ms. Donnelly, Mr. Schachter, did you

25

1 disclose to the government, or to me, that you intended to use 2 a PowerPoint, yes or no? 3 MR. SCHACHTER: 4 THE COURT: Ms. Donnelly? 5 MS. DONNELLY: No. 6 THE COURT: As I said in the robing room, this 7 behavior is inappropriate. I consider it to have had a deceptive effect, whatever its intention was, and it was 8 9 unprofessional. 10 Now, I don't yet know from a technical standpoint how 11 you managed to get that on the screens in the jury box, and I 12 want to know that now, because it was not on any of the screens 13 that court personnel had. 14 Was it on your screen, Mr. Mark? It was on our screen and we assumed it was 15 MR. MARK: 16 on your screen. That is why we were so confused. 17 THE COURT: How did you get that to happen? MR. SCHACHTER: I don't know the answer to that. 18 Ι 19 assumed it was on your Honor's screen as well. 20 (The law clerk conferred with the Court) 21 THE COURT: On my display, the controls for video 22 publishing are all set to off. 23 MR. SCHACHTER: We were of course, unaware of that, 24 vour Honor. I assumed that anything that could be displayed --

I assumed that nothing could ever be displayed before the jury

that your Honor was unable to see.

THE COURT: Well, you can be sure that's always my assumption because every system I've ever used left the final control of what was displayed to the jury in my hands and my deputy's.

Now, do you have an IT consultant here?

MR. SCHACHTER: I do, your Honor.

THE COURT: Who set this up?

MR. SCHACHTER: That I don't know.

THE COURT: All right. I want an affidavit of how they managed to accomplish that.

MR. SCHACHTER: Yes, your Honor.

THE COURT: And, furthermore, there will be no use of any audio/visual until I am assured that the ability of the lawyers to put anything in front of the jury before Andy or I displays it is totally disabled.

Where did you ever get the idea that you could display material to the jury without the Court being made explicitly aware of it?

MR. SCHACHTER: Your Honor, I have reached an age where I cannot say that my memory is completely clear, but I believe in my last trial against the Justice Department, the government put on a PowerPoint and we put on a PowerPoint and there was no exchange of PowerPoints.

THE COURT: And you put it on two juries without the

court knowing you were going to do it or seeing it at the same time, you did that?

MR. SCHACHTER: Absolutely not, because we never in a million years believed that your Honor could not see whatever was displayed to the jury. I would never, ever present something to the jury that the Court was unable to see. It was my understanding — I didn't even think that would be technologically possible. And we, of course, had no intent to hide what was going on from the Court.

I can only apologize and of course we'll find out exactly how that happened. But that was in no way our intention and it would have been — it would never have occurred to me that that was a technological possibility.

THE COURT: All right. Just one minute.

(Pause)

OK. I'll see you at 2 o'clock.

THE CLERK: All rise.

(Luncheon recess)